

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/991,333	11/14/2001	Mathias Smith	HER0100	3554
7590 10/02/2007			EXAM	INER
Anthony Niew				
BAKER & DAN Suite 800	NIELS LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
111 East Wayne Fort Wayne, IN				
•		•	DATE MAILED: 10/02/2001	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/991,333	SMITH, MATHIAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gims S. Philippe	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
• •	N V IC CET TO EVOIDE AN	AONTHACA OR THIRTY (20) RAVO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOn oute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	June 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-46 and 48-56</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13, 15-46, 48-56</u> is/are rejected.	,	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1/22 alaatian naan inanaat				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·			

Art Unit: 2621

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Petition to revive the application has been GRANTED on May 10, 2007.

The Examiner repeats the Office action preceding the Notice of Abandonment in order to give the applicant time to reply to the Office action.

1. Applicant's amendment received on June 23, 2005, in which claims 1,11, 15-17, 19, 21, 30, 38, 46, 48, and 51 were amended and claims 14, and 47 were canceled, has been fully considered and entered, but the arguments are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1-8, 9-54, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terranova (US Patent no. 6157871) in view of Kirsten (US Patent no. 6011901).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claims 1, 11, 21-22, 25, 30, 38, 40, 45-47, 50, 51, and 56, Terranova discloses a system for use in a refueling environment having a fuel dispenser position (See col. 4, lines 28-33), said system comprising surveillance camera operatively associated with said fuel dispenser position (See Fig. 5, camera 262), said surveillance camera being configured to operatively collect at least one image pertaining to said fuel dispenser position (See col. 28, lines 41-50); and a controller operatively associated with said surveillance camera (See controller 80 of fig. 5), said controller being configured to direct operation of said surveillance camera in response signal indicative of a trigger event (See col. 33, lines 4-15, and lines 53-66).

It is noted that although Terranova suggest recording images pertaining to the fuel dispenser (See col. 33, lines 2-8), it is silent about continuously recording for the duration of the operation associated with the transaction as specified in the amended claims.

However, Kirsten discloses a monitoring system including the steps of continuously recording for the duration of the operation associated with a transaction (See Kirsten col. 3, lines 33-41, and col. 2, lines 41-54).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying Terranova by incorporating Kirsten' step of continuously recording for the duration of the operation associated with a transaction. The motivation for performing such a modification in Terranova is to raise the probability of capturing significant information at the time of an event as taught by Kirsten (See col. 2, lines 4-5).

As per claims 2, 13, 23, 31, 39, and 49, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claims 1, 11, 21, 30, 38, 46 and 51. In addition, Terranova further provides configuration to operate in response to a signal indicating authorization of a transaction pertaining to the dispenser user (See Terranova fig.18, and col. 28, lines 28-32).

As per claims 24, 33, and 56, Terranova further discloses issuing a trigger event signal from a point-of-sale facility in the refueling environment (See Terranova col. 18, lines 20-32).

As per claims 3, and 50, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claim 1. In addition, Terranova further discloses a signal indicating the presence of a vehicle associated with the fuel dispenser position (See col. 11, lines 18-30, col. 27, lines 26-33, and col. 29, lines 15-18).

As per claims 4, and 27-29, Trinova's camera is a camera recorder (See fig. 8, camera 262).

As per claims 5-6, 17-18, 34, 43-44, and 54, Terranova further provides a network connection configured for connection to at least the Internet (See col. 37, lines 21-23).

Art Unit: 2621

As per claims 19-20, 37, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claims 11 and 14. In addition, Terranova further provides a communication link between the refueling environment and a remote location (See Terranova col. 5, lines 34-55).

As per claim 7, Terranova further provides a camera housed within the fuel dispenser is considered an inherent feature of the system as seen in fig. 8, camera 262.

As per claims 10, 14-16, 26, 35-36, 41-42, 48, and 52-53, Terranova further discloses the means for providing a record of the drive-off event (See col. 33, lines 53-66).

As per claim 9, Trinova's camera as disclosed in fig. 5, items 86 and 262, and col. 28, lines 41-50, col. 33. lines 2-8, will provide the still image, series of images, moving image, full motion video sequence, or a combination thereof.

Regarding claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the above rejection of claim 1.

It is noted that Terranova is silent about recording over any previously recorded video portions in the absence of a signal pertaining to previously recorded video portions.

Kirsten discloses recording over any previously recorded video portions in the absence of a signal pertaining to previously recorded video portions (See Kirsten col. 5, lines 1-5).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying the Trinova's fuel dispenser by incorporating Kirsten's step of recording over any previously recorded video portions in the absence of a signal pertaining to previously recorded video portions. The motivation for performing such a modification in Terranova is to make room for new data once the age of archival is over as taught by Kirsten (See Kirsten col. 5, lines 6-20).

4. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terranova (US Patent no. 6,157,871) in view of Kirsten (US Patent no. 6011901) as applied to claim 54 above, and further in view of Fernandez et al. (US Patent no. 6,697,103).

Regarding claim 55, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the above rejection of claim 54.

It is noted that Terranova is silent about a network including a packet-based data network.

Fernandez discloses a monitoring system including a network including a packetbased data network (See col. 2, lines 22-32, col. 3, lines 30-42).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying Trinova's method by incorporating Fernandez 's refueling method including a network with a packet-based

Art Unit: 2621

data network. The motivation for such a modification in Terranova is to be able to identify more than one detector as taught by Fernandez (See col. 3, lines 30-42).

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S. Philippe whose telephone number is (571) 272-7336. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dastouri Mehrdad can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gims S Philippe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621

GSP

June 22, 2007